

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.803.133>

Prevalence of Microbes on Hands of Health Care Workers in Surgical Intensive Care Unit at Tertiary Care Hospital

Ranweer^{1*}, Geeta Parihar¹, Nirwan Prem Singh² and Chandwani Jyotsna¹

¹Department of Microbiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Ajmer (Raj.), India

²Department of Microbiology, American international institute of medical sciences, Udaipur, (Raj.), India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Medical personals have very long history of being a hope and healing for medical professionals; however these persons may play a big role in transmission of multi drug resistance bacterial infections within the hospital settings. Nowadays Hospital acquired infections (HAI) most common problem and causing more morbidity and mortality mainly in intensive units and acute wards. To isolate and identify the pathogens on hands of health care workers (HCWs) in surgical intensive care unit (S.I.C.U) and identify the sensitivity pattern of these isolates. This is a prospective study conducted at Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and associated group of hospitals, Ajmer (Rajasthan) over a period of 18 months from July 2010 to Dec. 2011. This study includes 25 working staff in S.I.C.U. including anaesthesiologists and 25 non healthcare workers. A Total 100 samples collected from hands of HCWs, out of them 26% samples having of pathogens from 16(64%) HCWs. Most common isolate was *Staphylococcus aureus* in 15% samples and Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in 7% sample, then *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 10 % of samples and *Escherichia coli* 1% of samples. However 3% samples were having multiple pathogens from 3 HCWs (2 ward attendants and 1 nurse) however no bacteria found after hand wash. No pathogenic bacteria isolates from anaesthesiologist, Senior Doctors and 100 samples from hands of Non HCWs. Most of HCWs specially nursing care personals of S.I.C.U. in the tertiary care hospitals are harbouring and colonized with pathogenic bacteria, however improvement, promoting and compliance towards hand hygiene among healthcare providers is an effective method for reduction of hospital acquired infections.

Keywords

Microbes, Hand wash, Health care workers, Intensive care unit

Article Info

Accepted:

10 February 2019

Available Online:

10 March 2019

Introduction

Health care associated pathogens can be recovered from frequently colonized areas of normal, intact patient skin. About 5–10% patients admitted to acute care hospitals can

acquire an infection during hospital stay and it adds to the morbidity, mortality and costs that would be expected from the patient's underlying disease alone, so WHO contributes to the patient safety programme with safety challenge "Clean Care is Safer Care" for

prevention of HAI. ⁽¹⁾ The Patients admitted to the ward if they were particularly susceptible to infection, were carrying with highly resistant strains such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, was isolated usually in small numbers from cotton gowns, plastic aprons and nurses uniforms. ⁽²⁾ A hand-disinfection system using an antimicrobial agent (chlorhexidine) reduces the rate of nosocomial infections more effectively than one using alcohol and soap. ⁽³⁾

The Association for Professionals in Infection Control (APIC) has issued guidelines for hand washing practices. ⁽⁴⁾ When taking care of patients infected with virulent or epidemiologically important microorganisms, HCWs should consider using antiseptics for hand washing rather than soap and water, especially in intensive care units. Antiseptics that have a residual effect will continue to suppress microbial growth well after hand washing, but antiseptics should not be used as a substitute for adequate hand washing. ⁽⁵⁾ Hand washing with chlorhexidine reduces 98-100% in bacterial counts, and the introduction of routine hand washing by staff before moving from one patient to the next was associated with a significant and sustained reduction in the number of *Klebsiella* spp. infection. ⁽⁶⁾ At Surgical Site Infection due to microbial contamination of the wound that can be prevented by use of specific antiseptic agents and hand washing of surgical staff. ⁽⁷⁾ Alcohol hand rubs are less irritant and are easily accessible, using alcohol hand rub increases compliance rates by 25% thereby reducing nosocomial infection. ⁽⁸⁾

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology, J.L.N. Medical College and Associated Group of Hospitals, Ajmer (Raj) over a period of 18 months from July 2010 to Dec. 2011. Before taking samples hand washing techniques were explained. Then 100 samples were taken from 25HCWs and 100

samples were taken from 25 Non HCWs on blood agar, MacConkey agar and Nutrient agar before and after hand wash. Samples were taken at random times with respect to the time of last hand wash or patient care by Direct impression for 5 seconds of the fingers and part of the palm of study and control subjects was taken onto Blood Agar, Nutrient Agar and MacConkey Agar plates from the left and right hands. For after hand wash samples, subjects and controls were requested to wash their hands with non perfumed soap/ alcohol hand rubs provided in the SICU and after the hands were air-dried, then sample taken onto fresh plates as before. The culture plates are transported to and from the laboratory in a sterilized air tight container then after sampling The test settle plates and the control plates are collected and transported in the thermocol box/container to the laboratory. Then plates are incubated overnight for a period of 18-36 hours at 37 °C. Next day each plate showing bacterial colonies and identified by culture characteristics, gram's staining, and biochemical reactions using the standard procedures and modified Kirby Bauer method for sensitivity. ⁽⁹⁾ (as per CLSI guidelines) from the pure isolates.

Study subjects

25 S.I.C.U. Health Care Workers clinicians, anaesthesiologists, nurses, ward attendants, technicians and safai karamcharie were included in this study. 25 Controls comprising of persons not involved in patient care in the S.I.C.U. were taken.

Study design

Observational type Study type

Prospective

Inclusion criteria: 25 S.I.C.U. Health Care Workers clinicians, anaesthesiologists, nurses,

ward attendants, technicians and safai karamchari were included.

Results and Discussion

Out of 25 HCWs, 26 isolates were detected from 16 HCWs and most common pathogen were coagulase positive *Staph aureus* (15) out of these 6(40%) from left hand and 9(60%) from right hand, from 11(44%) HCWs including 7 MRSA (7%) from all the hands samples. Another common pathogen were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 10 out of these 6(60%) from left hand, 4(40%) from right hand isolates from 7(28%) HCWs and Least number of pathogen was *E. coli* 1 isolated 1(100%) from left hand from 1(4%) HCWs.

All the pathogens were isolated before hand wash and No pathogens isolated after the hand wash and samples from Non HCWs. However 3(12%)HCWs in which 2 ward attendants having *S. aureus* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 1 nurse having *E. coli* and *S aureus* were having multiple pathogens. *Staphylococci aureus* sensitive to Cefoxitine Erythromycin, Tetracycline Amoxyclave, Llinezolid (100%), Vancomycin (100%), Netilmicin (100%), Clindamycin Gentamicin and resistant to Cotrimoxazole(100%) Oxacillin, Ampicillin and Quinupristin (46.7%).

The four *S.aureus* sps (MRSA) isolates had identical drug resistance profiles being resistant to Oxacillin, Penicillin, Cephalexin, Gentamicin, Ciprofloxacin, Erythromycin, Ampicillin and Amoxycillin/Clavulanic acid. They were sensitive to Clindamycin, Vancomycin, Linezolid, Tetracycline and Netilmicin. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were resistant to all antibiotic except Imipenem and, Netilmicin and Chloramphenicol. *E. coli* was sensitive to all antibiotics except Ampicillin, Tobramycin, Cotrimoxazole, Cefoperazone, Cefoxitin and Tetracycline.

The Healthcare personals may often spread the bacterial resistance through their routine and innocuous work, especially in I.C.U. and wards. In I.C.U. 82.5% surgical wards and 24.7% patients file were found to be contaminated with potentially and other multiply resistant bacteria mainly *pseudomonas aeruginosa* and then *Staphylococci aureus* (1% MRSA).⁽¹⁰⁾

In this study 7% MRSA were isolated similar to study done by Gaspard *et al.*,⁽¹¹⁾ that observed that Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and other multiple resistant bacteria are frequently isolated in long-term care facilities staff clothing and pockets and these samples showed a high level of MRSA contamination. Wearing plastic aprons and managing pocket contents improved the contamination rate and this is highlight the continued importance of hand hygiene, since staff have frequent contaminates with their uniforms and could potentially contaminate their hands before care.⁽¹¹⁾

In this study Most common isolates was total 15% *Staphylococci aureus* total 7% % *Staphylococci aureus* (MRAS), 10% *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 1% *E. coli* were isolated, however Wong D, *et al.*,⁽¹²⁾ reported *S. aureus* were on the doctors coats of individuals and were more likely to be isolated from the cuff and pocket. *S. aureus* was isolated was most common isolates (25%).

In the present study total 7% % *Staphylococci aureus* (MRAS) and 1% *E. coli* were isolated that was similar to study done by A.R.GPUTA *et al.*,⁽¹³⁾ they observed in ICU staff, 97 specimens were collected out of which 11 (11.34%) showed the growth of MRSA and 3 (3.09%) showed the growth of Gram negative bacilli. In the second phase of the study, barrier nursing precautions were introduced like, wearing of protective caps, masks,

changing into clean linen instead of the normal uniform, and frequent hand washing before and after attending a patient. There was a significant reduction of MRSA growth in the ICU environment, (4.81%), and Gram

negative bacilli to 1.2%, and the patient sites (MRSA 4.86%), however the staff carrier status did not show any change in the MRSA growth (13.4%) (Table 1–4).

Table.1 No. of pathogens isolated from right and left hand of HCWs

Name of Isolates	HCWs				Non-HCWs			
	LEFT HAND (n=25)		RIGHT HAND (n=25)		LEFT HAND (n=25)		RIGHT HAND (n=25)	
	BHW (%)	AHW (%)	BHW (%)	AHW (%)	BHW (%)	AHW (%)	BHW (%)	AHW (%)
<i>Coagulase negative Staphylococci</i>	25 (100)	23 (92)	25 (100)	22 (88)	25 (100)	23 (92)	25 (100)	22 (88)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	6 (24)	0 (0.0)	9 (36)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Micrococcus spp.</i>	23 (92)	21 (84)	22 (88)	22 (88)	24 (96)	23 (92)	24 (96)	22 (88)
<i>Diphtheroids</i>	2 (8)	0 (0.0)	1 (4)	0 (0.0)	2 (8)	1 (4)	1 (4)	0 (0.0)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	6 (24)	0 (0.0)	4 (16)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Aerobic sporing bacilli (GBP)</i>	4 (16)	0 (0.0)	3 (12)	1 (4)	7 (20)	0 (0.0)	3 (12)	0 (0.0)
<i>E. coli</i>	1 (4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Table.2 Number and types of pathogens isolated /No. of HCWs

NAME OF HEALTHCARE WORKERS	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (No. of isolates / No. of HCWs))	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA) (No. of isolates / No. of HCWs)	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (No. of isolates / No. of HCWs)	<i>E. coli</i> (No. of isolates / No. of HCWs))
STAFF NURSE	4/3	4/3	4/2 *	1/1 LT hand
SAFAI KARMCHARI	3/2	2/1 LT & RT hand	2/1*	-
WARD ATTENDENT	3/3	-	2/2	-
TECHNICIANS	4/2	-	1/1(*)	-
Sr. AAESTHESIOLOGIST	-	-	-	-
SENIOR DOCTOR	-	-	-	-
JUNIOR DOCTOR	1/1	1/1 LT hand	1/1 RT hand*	-

Another HCWS other than *S.aureus*

Table.3 Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant patterns on of isolates from health care workers

NAME OF PATHOGENES	P		OX		G		CN		VAN		CIP		CD		E		LZ		NET		AMP		TE		PM		CO		AMC	
	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (N=15)	6	9	8	7	9	6	12	3	15	0	12	3	15	0	11	4	15	0	15	0	8	7	11	4	8	7	0	15	9	6
%OF SENSITIVITY/RESISTANTIVITY	40%	60%	53.3%	46.7%	60%	40%	80%	20%	100%	100%	80%	20%	100%	100%	73.3%	26.7%	100%	100%	100%	100%	53.3%	46.7%	73.3%	26.7%	53.3%	46.7%	100%	100%	60%	40%

Table.4 Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant patterns on of isolates from health care workers

NAME OF PATHOGENES	CIP		G		AK		CAC		CTR		PIP		AMC		AT		IMP		NET		CTX		C		CB		CPM		CS		CO		TE		TOB		CX	
	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R		
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (N=10)	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	10	0	1	9	0	10	1	9	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10
%OF SENSITIVITY/RESISTANTIVITY	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	90%	100%	100%	10%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (N=1)	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
%OF SENSITIVITY/RESISTANTIVITY	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

This study also similar to study done by Pant *et al.*,⁽¹⁴⁾ in which the Gram positive cocci were the most predominant ones among the bacterial isolates from the environment have *Staphylococcus aureus* 10% in their hands, *Escherichia coli* 8%, *Klebsiella spp.* 10.2% and 5.7% carrier isolates of *S. aureus* were found to be Methicillin resistant. In the present study 33.33% nurses were having *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, 50% nurses were having *S. aureus* and 4% from total HCWs having *E. coli*. However Casewell *et al.*,⁽⁶⁾ who has reported *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 12% and *Staph aureus* 30% isolates from nurses hands.

It was observe that most of gram negative bacteria were sensitive to Imipenem and lesser extent with Netilmicin and Chloramphenicol and most of gram positive bacterial strains sensitive were Linezolid and Cefoxitin. This variations may be due to use of variety of antiseptic solutions, soaps and methods of hand washing.

In conclusion, this study provides the prevalence of bacteria on hands of healthcare providers before hand washing mainly those involve in close patients care areas they may becomes a source of transmitting HAI in wards. Although no bacteria found after hand wash and from Senior Doctors. We observed that maintaining self hygiene and proper hand washing reduces the contamination and HAI. HCWs were harbouring the abundant multidrug resistant Gram positive pathogens more than Gram negative pathogens.

This study indicates that the hand hygiene practice results in a decrease infection rate and need for sensitization and training to HCWs for improve knowledge about infection control policies implication to intervene and control nosocomial infection and minimize the MRSA and multidrug resistant bacterial outbreaks.

Further suggest that more assessing of relationship among clinical samples, environmental samples and hand samples with randomized and programmed sampling should be done.

References

1. WHO guidelines on hand hygiene in health care (advanced draft). a summary. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005, 2009 14-6
2. Babb JR, Davies JG and Ayliffe GA. Contamination of protective clothing and nurses' uniforms in an isolation ward. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 1983; 4: 149-57.
3. Doebbling B.N., Stanley G.L., Sheetz G.T., Pfaller M.A. Comparative efficacy of alternate hand washing agents in reducing nosocomial infections in intensive care units. *N Eng J Med.* 1992 Jul 9; 327(2): 88-93.
4. Larson E.L.: APIC Guidelines for hand washing and hand antisepsis. *Am J Infect Control.* 1995 Aug; 23(4): 251-69
5. Centre for Disease Control (CDC): Isolation techniques for use in hospitals, 2nd ed. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1983; 13
6. Case well M. Phillipos I. hands as a route of transmission of *Klebsiella* species *Br Med j.* 1977 Nov 19; 2(6098): 1315-7.
7. Mangaram AJ, Horan TC, Peason ML *et al.*: Guidelines for prevention of surgical site infection. *INFECTION CONTROL AND HOSPITAL EPIDEMIOLOGY* 1999 Vol. 20 No. 4:247
8. *Br J Nurse. Medicine, Section of Ageing and Health, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee.* 2002 Sep 12-25; 11 (16): 1072, 1074-7
9. Bauer A.W., Kirby W.M.M., Sherris J.C., Truck M. Antibiotic susceptibility

- testing by a standardized single disk method. *Am J Clin Path.* 1966; 45: 493-496.
10. R. Panhotra, Anil K. Saxena, Abdulrahman S. Al-Mulhim, Contamination of patients' files in intensive care units: An indication of strict handwashing after entering case notes 2005 Sep 33 (7): 398-401.
 11. P.Gaspard E. Eschbach D. Gunther, S. Gayet, X. Bertrand, D. Talon Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* contamination of healthcare workers' uniforms in long-term care facilities 2009 Feb 71(2): 170-175.
 12. Wong D, Nye K and Hollis PB. Microbial flora on doctors' white coats. *British Medical Journal* 1991; 303: 1602-4.
 13. A.R. Gupta Lt. Col, Lt. Col. (Mrs.) N. Kaul Col. V. Saraswat. Brig. T. Prabhakar VSM In an ICU set up and effect of barrier nursing on the existing profile. *Indian J Anaesth* (2005): 49 [1]; 31-26.
 14. Pant J, Rai SK, Singh A, Lekhak B, Shakya B, and Ghimire G Nepal Medical College journal: NMCI 2006 Sep.8 (3): 194-9.

How to cite this article:

Ranweer, Geeta Parihar, Nirwan Prem Singh and Chandwani Jyotsna. 2019. Prevalence of Microbes on Hands of Health Care Workers in Surgical Intensive Care Unit at Tertiary Care Hospital. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(03): 1105-1111.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.803.133>